

**REMARKS AND INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Claims 1-7, 9-13 and 15-20 remain pending in this application. Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner, Mr. Tu Nguyen, for the courtesies extended to Applicant's attorney, Mr. Garrett Donley, during the telephone conference of August 31, 2004. During that telephone conference, a minor Examiner's Amendment to claim 1 was authorized, to conform the claim language to that suggested by the Examiner in the current Office Action, so that claims 1-7 would then be fully allowable. In addition, Applicant's attorney advised the Examiner about proposed amendments to claims 17 and 18, which were believed to place the remaining claims 17-20 in a condition for allowance. These additional amendments are being submitted herewith, as suggested by the Examiner. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection(s) in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

**REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112**

Claims 1-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. As mentioned above, during the telephone conference of August 31, 2004, Applicant's attorney authorized the deletion of the language "and light" at line 10 of claim 1 by Examiner's Amendment. Accordingly, the reproduction of all pending claims in this paper omits these two words, assuming that the authorized amendment has already been made by the Examiner.

**REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Claims 17-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 4,097,751 to Egan in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,022,532 to Montagnino. Claims 17 and 18 are being amended herein to clarify the invention, and it is submitted that these amendments serve to distinguish these claims from the disclosures of the Egan and Montagnino references.

**ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER**

Claims 9-13 and 15-16 are allowed. The Examiner states that claims 1-7 would be allowable upon the amendment to claim 1, which has been made by Examiner's Amendment.

**CONCLUSION**

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 7, 2004

By: Garrett C. Donley  
Garrett C. Donley, Reg. No. 34,579

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600  
[GCD/de]